

1 Ronald J. Skocypec, Esq., Bar No.: 072690
2 Melodee A. Yee, Esq., Bar No.: 168541
3 PETERSON & BRADFORD, LLP
4 100 North First Street, Suite 300
5 Burbank, CA 91502
6 818.562.5800
7 818.562.5810 – Facsimile

8
9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

11
12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
14 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 Liberty Mutual Insurance Company,) Case No.: C 06 2022 SC
18)
19 Plaintiff,) **PLAINTIFF'S ADR**
20) **CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES**
21) **AND COUNSEL**
22)
23 Michael T. Blatt,)
24)
25 Defendant.)
26)
27)
28)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE
COMPANY

Case No. C 06 2022 SC

Plaintiff(s),

ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES
AND COUNSEL

v.

MICHAEL T. BLATT


Defendant(s).

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
that he or she has:

- (1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District
of California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov (Limited printed
copies are available from the clerk's office for parties in cases not subject to the court's
Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45);
- (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private
entities; and
- (3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute
resolution options.

Dated: 11/29/06

Dated: _____


[Party]
for Liberty Mutual Ins. Co.

[Counsel]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE
COMPANY

Case No. C 06 2022 SC

Plaintiff(s),

ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES
AND COUNSEL

v.

MICHAEL T. BLATT

Defendant(s).

/

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:


- (1) Read the handbook entitled "*Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov (Limited printed copies are available from the clerk's office for parties in cases not subject to the court's Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45);
- (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and
- (3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Dated: _____

[Party]

Dated: 11/27/06

[Counsel]



PROOF OF SERVICE

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

2 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I
 3 am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business
 4 address is 100 North First Street, Suite 300, Burbank, California 91502.

5 On November 29, 2006, I served the foregoing document described
 6 as:

PLAINTIFF'S ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

7 on interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy
 8 thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED MAILING LIST**[X] (BY MAIL)**

11 [] I deposited such envelope in the mail at Burbank, California. The
 envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

12 [X] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of
 13 collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that
 14 practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day
 15 with postage thereon fully prepaid at Burbank, California in the ordinary
 16 course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served,
 17 service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter
 18 date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
 19 Executed on November 29, 2006, at Burbank, California.


[] (BY FACSIMILE)

20 [] I served by facsimile a true copy of the above-described document. I
 21 am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of processing
 22 correspondence by fax. Under that practice documents are placed in
 23 our fax machine and are processed and received simultaneously at
 24 their destination. The above-referenced document(s) was placed in the
 25 fax machine with all costs of faxing prepaid, directed to each party
 26 (using their fax number), listed on the attached Service List. Once the
 27 document has been transmitted, the fax machine provides a report
 28 indicating time of completion.

Executed on _____, at Burbank, California.

[] (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State
 of California that the above is true and correct.

[X] (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of
 the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.


 27 Liv Kirchoff

SERVICE LIST

1 Ronald D. Foreman, Esq.
2 Russell F. Brasso, Esq.
3 FOREMAN & BRASSO
4 Attorneys at Law
5 930 Montgomery St., Suite 600
6 San Francisco, CA 94133
Defendant

7 *Courtesy Copy
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28